

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,218	09/17/2003	Alexander A. Maltsev	42P16281 3354	
59796	7590 05/30/2007 OB A TION		EXAMINER	
INTEL CORPORATION c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			HARPER, KEVIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Will VIVE ALL OL	15, MIN 33 102		2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,218	MALTSEV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Harper	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice under Expr	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/05, 4/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	eate				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma et al. (US 2003/0147476) in view of Subramanian et al. (US 2001/0031014).

- 1. Regarding claims 1-3, 5, 11-13, 15-18 and 20, Ma discloses a method comprising receiving channel state information (fig. 1b; col. 4, line 51) and adjusting a modulation rate based on the channel state information (para. 23; note: maintaining a bit error rate). Further regarding claim 11, the method is implemented by an apparatus comprising a modulation encoder and a weighting block (figs. 1 and 2). Further regarding claim 16, the system is OFDM (para. 23).
- 2. However, Ma does not disclose rescaling subcarrier power based on channel state information. Subramanian discloses adjusting subcarrier transmit power based on channel state information (paras. 7 and 17; fig. 2). A subcarrier is turned off by allocating no bits (para. 57) and subcarriers are selected based on a SNR ratio threshold (paras. 17 and 33-34). Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to rescale subcarrier transmit power based on channel state information in order to maintain a desirable communication session (para. 7).

3. Regarding claims 6-8 and 10, Ma discloses a method as noted in the rejection of claims 1-3 and 5 above. However, Ma does not disclose a computer readable medium for storing program instruction to carry out a method. One skilled in the art would recognize that program instructions stored in a computer readable medium are used to implement a method. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have a computer readable medium in the invention of MA in order to provide a flexibly operated communication device as is known in the art.

Claims 4, 9, 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma in view of Sumramanian as applied to claims 1, 6, 11 or 16, above, and further in view of Sampath (US 2003/0043929).

4. Regarding claims 4, 9, 14 and 19, Ma in view of Subramanian does not disclose trellis coding. Sampath discloses trellis coding for use in an OFDM system (paras. 53 and 78). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have trellis coding in the invention of Ma in view of Sampath in order to provide a robust coding scheme as is known in the art (Sampath, para. 78).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at 571-272-2092. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

May 28, 2007